

J S - 6

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
CIVIL MINUTES—GENERAL

Case No. **EDCV 23-0882 JGB (SHKx)** Date September 26, 2023

Title ***Fengping Li v. Alejandro Mayorkas, et al.***

Present: The Honorable **JESUS G. BERNAL, UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE**

MAYNOR GALVEZ

Deputy Clerk

Not Reported

Court Reporter

Attorney(s) Present for Plaintiff(s):

None Present

Attorney(s) Present for Defendant(s):

None Present

Proceedings: Order DISMISSING Plaintiff's Complaint for Failure to Prosecute (IN CHAMBERS)

Plaintiff Fengping Li ("Plaintiff") filed this action against Defendants on May 16, 2023. (Dkt. No. 1.) On August 21, 2023, the Court ordered Plaintiff to show cause in writing on or before August 28, 2023, why this action should not be dismissed for lack of prosecution. ("OSC," Dkt. No. 7.) As of the date of this order, Plaintiff has not responded.

Rule 41(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure grants the Court authority to sua sponte dismiss actions for failure to prosecute or failure to comply with court orders. See Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b); Wolff v. California, 318 F.R.D. 627, 630 (C.D. Cal. 2016). A plaintiff must prosecute his case with "reasonable diligence" to avoid dismissal pursuant to Rule 41(b). Anderson v. Air W., Inc., 542 F.2d 522, 524 (9th Cir. 1976). Here, Plaintiff has failed to prosecute this case with reasonable diligence.

Accordingly, the Court **DISMISSES** plaintiff's action pursuant to Rule 41(b). The Clerk of the Court is **DIRECTED** to close the case.

IT IS SO ORDERED.